

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/700,951	HUTTUNEN, MIKKO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason M. Perilla	2638

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Jason M. Perilla.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christine H. McCarthy.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 September 2005

**Time:** 5pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 9, and 10

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant reviewed and approved a proposed Examiner's Amendment to place the case into direct condition for allowance.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)